UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

DAVID DURHAM, Plaintiff,

Case No. 1:18-cv-91 McFarland, J. Litkovitz, M.J.

VS.

DETECTIVE JERRY NIFFENEGGER, et. al. Defendants.

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff David Durham's motion to compel (Doc. 80). The Court's Standing Order, at §§ I.D.2-3, sets forth its procedures regarding motions relating to discovery. The Court does not entertain discovery motions until the parties have satisfied those procedures. First, the parties must attempt to resolve the dispute by extrajudicial means, which the Court defines to include both in-writing and telephonic efforts. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1); S.D. Ohio Civ. R. 37.1. If unsuccessful, the parties are to contact the Court's Courtroom Deputy to schedule an informal discovery conference.

Plaintiff's motion (Doc. 80) is therefore **STRICKEN**. Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to comply with the Court's Standing Order as referenced herein.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Datas	4/28/2021	
Date:	4/20/2021	

Karen L. Litkovitz

United States Magistrate Judge